STATE OF MINNESOTA DISTRICT COURT

COUNTY OF DAKOTA

FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

,	
Plaintiff/Petitioner	CONTINUANCE REQUEST
vs.	Court File No.(s):
Defendant/Respondent	
The above-captioned matter is set for a $(mo/day/yr)$, at $_$ a.m./p.m. in \square Hast that this matter has been continued $_$ time are set forth as follows: $_$	val of a continuance of a hearing date in the above captioned matter. hearing on tings □Apple Valley □West St Paul, Minnesota. I have verified nes. The basis and procedural history for these continued hearings
is as follows (detailed reason):	nat this matter be continued. The reason for the continuance request
	th the other party or opposing counsel, not object (circle one) to continuing this matter.
Both parties agree that they are available to coin _Hastings _Apple Valley _West St Paul confirm that date is available.	ntinue the matter to at a.m./p.m., Minnesota, and have contacted District Court Administration to
Considering the Stipulation of the parties the C good cause is not shown.	Court may ultimately deny any continuance request by the parties if
FOR CRIMINAL MATTERS ONLY: Defer	ndant is charged with/convicted of:
	a demand for a speedy trial/hearing. NOTE – If a speedy demand ow on the record has waived his/her right to the speedy trial/hearing
	Party Making Request:
Dated:	Signature
	Printed Name